

SOLAR STORM TYPE CLASSIFICATION USING PROBABILISTIC NEURAL NETWORK COMPARED WITH THE SELF-ORGANIZING MAP

^aGregorius S. Budhi, ^bRudy Adipranata, ^cBambangSetiahadi, ^dAdrian H. N.

^{a,b,d}Information Engineering Department, Petra Christian University,
Siwalankerto 121 – 131, Surabaya

^cAerospace Observer Station (SPD) LAPAN,
Watukosek, Gempol, POBox 04, Pasuruan

E-Mail: ^agreg@petra.ac.id

Abstrak

Salah satu tugas LAPAN adalah melakukan pengamatan dan peramalan gangguan badai matahari. Gangguan ini dapat mempengaruhi medan elektromagnet bumi sehingga mengacaukan peralatan elektronik dan navigasi yang ada di bumi. Hal ini akan dapat membahayakan kehidupan manusia bila tidak diantisipasi dengan benar. LAPAN menginginkan adanya aplikasi komputer yang secara otomatis dapat mengklasifikasi tipe badai matahari, yang menjadi bagian dari sistem early warning yang akan dibuat. Oleh sebab itu kami dari Universitas Kristen Petra program studi Teknik Informatika dan Lembaga Penerbangan dan Antariksa Nasional melakukan penelitian bersama tentang klasifikasi badai matahari. Klasifikasi dilakukan terhadap citra digital badai matahari / kelompok bintik matahari ini berbasis pada sistem klasifikasi "Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification" yang banyak digunakan. Metode klasifikasi yang kami gunakan disini adalah Jaringan Saraf Tiruan Probabilistik. Hasil dari pengujian cukup menjanjikan karena memiliki akurasi sebesar 94% untuk data testing. Akurasi ini lebih baik dari akurasi aplikasi serupa yang dibangun dengan kombinasi metode Self-Organizing Map dan K-Nearest Neighbor.

Kata kunci: Klasifikasi Tipe Badai Matahari, Sistem Klasifikasi *Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification*, Jaringan Syaraf Tiruan Probabilistik.

Abstract

One of the task of the LAPAN is making observation and forecasting of solar storms disturbance. This disturbances can affect the earth's electromagnetic field that disrupt the electronic and navigational equipment on earth. It would be dangerous to human life if not properly anticipated. LAPAN wanted a computer application that can automatically classify the type of solar storms, which became part of early warning systems to be created. Therefore we from Petra Christian University Informatics Engineering Department and the Indonesian National Aeronautics and Space Agency conduct joint research on the classification of solar storms. The classification of the digital images of solar storm / sunspot groups is based on "Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification System" which is widely used. Classification method that we use here is the Probabilistic Neural Networks. The result of testing is promising because it has an accuracy of 94% for testing data. The accuracy is better than the accuracy of similar applications we've built with a combination of methods Self-Organizing Map and K-Nearest Neighbor.

Keywords: Solar Storm Type Classification, *Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification*, Probabilistic Neural Network.

INTRODUCTION

Disruption from the sun that recently known as solar storm is strongly concerned. The disruption can affect the Earth's electromagnetic field, in addition to others dangers that can be happening. Today, human life is highly dependent on modern technologies especially in the field of electrical, electronics, computer and navigate the sea and air, that are susceptible to interference from electromagnetic field changes. Therefore, the emergence of 'interference' from solar storms should be anticipated quickly and precisely.

Actually the solar storm term is a great explosion (Flare) and Coronal Mass Ejection / CME on the surface of the sun. Before the famous term solar storm, this two type of disruptions is more commonly called a group of sunspots, because that's the kind seen in the image of the sun taken by the Michelson Doppler Image instruments (MDI) Continuum / SOHO (Solar and Heliospheric Observatory). Sunspots evolved from a tiny spot with low activity evolved into a very complex configuration with the possibility of having high activity, which issued a mass explosion and hurling corona. To determine the level of complexity of sunspots and sunspot activity Modified - Zurich classification is used in order to classify the types of sunspots.

This study is part of a larger study entitled "Automated Sunspot Group Classification for Analyzing Space Weather Conditions" we've done in collaboration with researchers from the National Aeronautics and Space Agency (LAPAN). Classification of sunspots groups is based on Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification system. In this paper we publish some of the results of our study that is the use of probabilistic neural network to the classification of sunspots groups / solar storms type, which looks at the digital image of the sun.

Before the classification process, it is necessary proceeding digital imageenhancement ofthe sun images. It should also detect the location of sunspots and sunspot groupings. All of our process has been published previously [1, 2].

For the testing, we also compared the results of this sub-system testing with other classification sub-systems that we have made

previously by using a combination of artificial neural network method Self-Organizing Map and data mining methods K-Nearest Neighbor [3]. This comparison purposes so that we can obtain the most appropriate classification method to be used in the classification system of solar storms that will be created.

SUNSPOT CLASSIFICATION

Sunspots are the intersection of the solar magnetic flux tube with the photosphere.

Sunspots appear dark because the magnetic field has the effect of sunspot cooling so that the temperature is lower than the surrounding area. The magnetic field of sunspots proved to be a source of disturbance energy from the sun, such as explosives, or hurling coronal mass [4]. The latter phenomenon known as solar storms can disrupt space environment around the Earth and technology facilities and life on the Earth's surface [5, 6, 7].




Figure 1. Modified-Zurich Sunspot Classification[10].




Figure 2. Detail Architecture of PNN [13].




Figure 3. PNN Architecture [14].

Because of the sunspot's magnetic field is the intersection of the tube with the photosphere, the appearance of sunspots begins with a small black spot. Over time, if the tube magnetic field is constantly out of the sun due to the buoyant force, it would appear two spots with opposite magnetic polarity (bipolar). Sunspots evolved into more complex configurations, in example, the number of spots and area increases. The more complex a configuration of sunspots are, the greater the possibility of instability magnetic field that triggers a flare or CME events [8, 9].

Stages of the evolution of sunspots is expressed in the "Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification", namely class A, B, C, D, E, F (the level of complexity increases) and then gradually decreased until it became a class H. Image of the sunspot evolution classification found in Figure 1 [10].

ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS

Artificial neural network is a processing model that is based on the workings of the human brain [11]. Just like the human brain, neural

networks composed of cells interconnected neurons. Each cell is a neuron receives input signals are processed to produce an output signal. Neural networks can learn to like the human brain by giving weight for each input on neuron cells. By using weights, the neural network can learn a given input.

Probabilistic Neural Network

Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN) is a method of artificial neural networks using the principles of statistical theory Bayesian Classification to replace heuristic principles used in the Back-propagation algorithm [12]. That is why PNN is usually used to perform pattern classification [13].

Architecture of the PNN consists of four layers, namely input layer, pattern layer, summation layer and decision layer / output layer as can be seen in Figure 2 [13].

The input layer does not perform many calculations, just transfer the data input to each neuron in the pattern layer. Each neuron in the pattern layer will perform probability calculations (distance) between the input to the data stored in the pattern layer neurons. Furthermore, the summation layer will receive input from neurons of pattern layer and sum it. And last, the output layer will produce classification results based on the result of the summation neuron that has the greatest value.

In general, probabilistic neural network architecture is shown in Figure 3.

Here is the training algorithm of probabilistic neural network [14]:

1. Initializing initial weight (radial basis layer weight) W obtained from the transpose of the matrix $R \times Q$ where R is the dimension of input and Q is the number of training data.
2. Initializing constant spread (s) of PNN.
3. Initializing the bias weight (b), as shown in Equation (1),

$$b = \frac{\sqrt{-\ln 0.5}}{s} \quad (1)$$

4. Initializing final weight (competitive layer weight) M which is a matrix of size $K \times Q$ where K is the number of the classification results and Q is the number of training data. I -th row of the matrix M represents the i -th training data and the j -th column matrix

values will be worth 1 if the training data into the group, otherwise it will be 0.

5. Calculate the distance between the vectors of input data P with vector of each row in the initial weight W (Euclidean Distance between vector P with vector W_i) resulting distance matrix $\|W - P\|$ that have $Q \times 1$ dimension.
6. Calculating the activation value of the distance between the initial weight of the input data (vector a), using $\text{radbas}()$ function.

$$\text{adbass}(n) = e^{-n^2} \quad (2)$$

$$n = \|W - P\| * b \quad (3)$$

7. Multiplying vector a by matrix M to produce output vector d .
8. Looking for an output of PNN with competitive function C where the competitive function will locate the largest value in the vector d . Index of greatest value is to indicate the results of the classification of data input P .
9. Save the initial weight, spreads, and the final weight.

Min - Max Normalization

Min-max normalization is a method of normalization that transforms the data in a linear fashion into a new range [15]. Formula of this method is shown in Equation (4).

$$v' = \frac{v - \min_A}{\max_A - \min_A} (\text{new_max}_A - \text{new_min}_A) + \text{new_min}_A \quad (4)$$




Figure 4. PNN Architecture Used.

DESAIN OF SOLAR STORM CLASSIFICATION SUB-SYSTEM

Probabilistic Neural Network Architecture Used

Probabilistic neural network architecture used is shown in Figure 4.

For the number of input units will be obtained from the large dimension of the data input (R), the processed data from the database input diameter, area, perimeter, number of sunspot each group, and the average black pixel for each region of the input image. After that, each input unit is connected to all the pattern units amounting to a weight Q , where Q is the amount of training data. So the initial weight matrix size $Q \times R$.

Each pattern unit will generate a value of the distance between the weight and the input, the result is a matrix dimension $Q \times 1$ which will then be used for further calculations using $\text{radbas}()$ function.

Each pattern unit will be connected with all summation units through the final weight, which is a matrix of size $K \times Q$, where K is the number of the classification results, which is a class A, B, C, D, E, F , and H . The magnitude of Q is the amount of training data. i -th row of the matrix M represents the i -th training data and the j th column matrix values will be worth 1 if the training data into the group, otherwise it will be 0.

Then each summation unit will be connected to an output unit whose function is to seek the greatest value of summation unit and take the index of the summation unit of as a result of the classification.

Sub-System Design

In Figure 5, 6 and 7 can be seen a block diagram and flowchart of the design sub-systems that are built for the solar storms type classification using probabilistic neural network method.

Input from the sub-system is derived from the sub-system that we created earlier and have been published [1, 2]. Any data that goes into the sub-type classification system solar storm consists of 4 kinds of values and a digital image. It consists of four different values: area, perimeter, diameter, and the number of spots available to a group of sunspots. Meanwhile,

the input digital image is a digital image of the solar storm as shown in Figure 8. Furthermore, the solar storm digital image is converted to data that can be received by the input neurons of PNN. To change, the image is processed into black and white image using the grayscale processing and thresholding method. After becoming a black-and-white image, it is proceeded to divide of regions in the image, where the number of regions specified by user. Examples of the process can be seen in Figure 8. Furthermore, the number of black pixels in each region is counted.

Next is the process of normalization of all the input data, using the Min -Max Normalization formula. These data are the area, perimeter, diameter, number of spots and values derived from counting the black pixels of each region. The values that have been normalized are used for input neurons PNN.

Once all the input data has been prepared, the user can select the process to be executed, namely: ANN training or classification. For

training the neural network, as in general the process of training the PNN method, all sample data will be processed to update all the weight until convergent. After converging, the weight is stored and can then be used in the classification process. The process of training for a sample data is shown in Figure 6.

For the classification process, input required is a set of PNN weights that have been convergent, PNN architecture used during training, and image the solar storms that will be classified. With passing the solar storms image to be classified in the sub-system of PNN (with a weight that has converged), we will get the value of the classification based on Modified - Zurich Sunspot Classification, which is a class *A, B, C, D, E, F or H*. The process of classification for a data can be seen in Figure 7.

From the results of this classification can be determined quickly whether a solar storm (sunspot groups) is dangerous or not. Class types to be concerned are *D, E and F*.




Figure 5. Block Diagram of Solar Storm Type Classification Sub-System Using PNN.




Figure 6. Flowchart of The PNN Training Process.




Figure 7. Flowchart of The Solar Storm Type Classification Process Using PNN.



Figure 8. Examples of Solar Storm Digital Image and The Process of Region Dividing.




Figure 9. Comparison of Classification Accuracy Forvariation in The Amount of Training Data With The Distribution of Digital Image Region = 5 X 5.




Figure 10. Comparison of Classification Accuracy forVariations of Digital Image Regions With The Number of Training Data=150 Data.

Table 1. The Constant Variation Spread Testing.

Spread	Classification accuracy of the training data	Classification accuracy of the testing data
0.2	97%	94%
0.5	85%	89%
0.9	72%	86%

Note: The testing data are samples data that did not participate in the training process.

Table 2. Testing Variations in The Amount of Training Data.

Training data number	Classification accuracy of the training data	Classification accuracy of the data testing
50	98%	76%
100	96%	86%
150	97%	94%

Table 3. Regions Number Variations Testing.

The number of region	Classification accuracy of the training data	Classification accuracy of the data testing
3 x 3	88%	92%
5 x 5	97%	94%
7 x 7	99%	91%

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

There is some testing that has been done on the sub-systems, namely:

- a. Testing the speed of the training and qualification process. It would be tested with a maximum total data that we have (214 kinds of sample data). Test results state that each process has a speed less than 0.1 milliseconds. It can be concluded that in terms of processing speed, the sub-system is very good.
- b. Tests with constant variation spread of PNN. The test is performed with the number of training data=150 data and digital images of solar storms that is divided into 5 x 5 regions. The test results can be seen in Table 1. So, we could conclude that the higher spread value will be, the decrease of classification accuracy value would be produced.
- c. Tests on the amount of training data variation. Setting the test is spread = 0.2 and a digital image of solar storms is divided into 5 x 5 regions. The test results can be seen in Table 2. From the results it can be concluded that if we tested with the data had been trained, the accuracy of sub-systems did not have a clear pattern. However, when tested with data testing, it can be seen that the more training data is used, the higher the accuracy of the sub-systems.

- d. The next test is to vary the number of regions of the solar storm digital image that are processed. The test is performed with the number of training data = 150 data and the constant spread = 0.2. The test results are shown in Table 3.

The test results stated that for the classification of the training data, the best regions number is 7x7. However, if the classification performed on the data testing, the best regions number is 5x5.

Further, we will compare this sub system with similar sub-system that we have built previously used a combination of methods Self-Organizing Neural Network and K-Nearest Neighbor [3]. Testing variations spread constants cannot be compared because the constant spread only on PNN and not used in the SOM. In comparison of the speed of the training process, the PNN method was much better. Speed the process of training the SOM-KNN is still above 1 second for as many as 50 pieces of training data, while the PNN has speed training under 0.1 milliseconds for maximum training data (214 training data). Furthermore, the results of comparison of the classification accuracy of PNN and SOM-KNN can be seen in Figure 9 and 10. These accuracy comparisons are performed only on the data testing (sample data that not used during training).

From the results of the comparison between the solar storms type classification sub-systems built with PNN and SOM-KNN, it appears that the performance of the PNN sub - system was better.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adipranata R, Budhi GS, Setiahadhi B, dan Anwar B. Segmentation of Sunspot Group using Watershed Method. *Proceedings of the National Conference of System and Informatics*. Bali. 332-337. 2010.
- [2] Budhi, GS, Adipranata R, Sugiarto M, Anwar B, dan Setiahadhi B. Sunspot Grouping of Solar Digital Image using

CONCLUSION

Solar storm type classification sub-system using Probabilistic Neural Network has been created properly. It can be seen from the rapid process of training and classification, as well as the high level of classification accuracy. Besides that the sub-system has also proven to have a better performance compared to the similar sub-system that has been built previously using a combination of Self-Organizing Map and K-Nearest Neighbor methods.

However, we have not decided yet whether the sub-system will be used or not. The test results must be compared with the results of similar system test that we built using Feed-Forward Back-propagation method. From the comparison result, analysis and further testing, we will determine which sub-system to use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research was funded by Research Competitive Grant DIPA-PT Coordination of Private Higher Education region VII, East Java (0082/SP2H/PP/K7/KL/IV/2011) fiscal year 2011 and Research Center, Petra Christian University, Surabaya (12/Sugas-Pen/LPPM-UKP/2012), fiscal year 2012, entitled "Automated Sunspot Group Classification for Analyzing Space Weather Conditions".

DEDICATION

We dedicate this paper to a co-researcher from the National Aeronautics and Space Agency (LAPAN), namely: Dr. Bachtiar Anwar M.Sc. who had died of illness at the end of 2011.

DBSCAN Clustering Method. *Proceedings of the National Seminar of Information Technology Application, Yogyakarta*. F81 – F85. 2011.

- [3] Budhi GS, Adipranata R, Setiahadhi B, Anwar B, Hartanto A, and Tjondrowiguno AN. Combination of Self-Organizing Map Neural Network and K-Nearest Neighbor

- for Automatic Classification of Sunspot Group Image. *Proceedings of the National Conference of System and Informatics*. Bali. 369 – 374. 2011.
- [4] Setiahad B, Sakurai T, Miyazaki H, and Hiei E. Research on Magnetohydrodynamic Transport Phenomena in Solar-Terrestrial Space at LAPAN Watukosek 2006. *Proceedings of the National Space Seminar III Space Science Utilization Center, LAPAN*. Bandung. 17 – 34. 2006.
- [5] Boteler DH. Space Weather Effects on Power Systems. *Space Weather Geophysical Monograph*. 125: 347 – 355. 2001.
- [6] Bothmer V and Daglis IA. *Space Weather, Physics, and Effects*. Chicester UK: Springer - Praxis Publishing. 2007.
- [7] Lanzerotti LJ. Space Weather Effects on Technologies. *Space Weather, Geophysical Monograph*. 125: 11 – 22. 2001.
- [8] Anwar B, Acton LW, Makita M, Hudson HS, McClymont AN, and Tsuneta S. Rapid Sunspot Motion During A Major Solar Flare. *Solar Physics*. 147: 287 – 303. 1993.
- [9] Setiahad B. Problems of Equilibria and Instabilities on Solar Coronal Magnetic Fields and Its Evolution Towards Energetic Energy Liberation: Effect to Interplanetary Space. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Mathematics, FMIPA, University of Diponegoro*. E1: 1 – 7. 2005.
- [10] McIntosh PS. The Classification of Sunspot Groups. *Solar Physics*. 125: 251 – 267. 1990.
- [11] Negnevitsky M. *Artificial intelligence: A guide to intelligence systems 2nd Edition*. New York: Addison Wesley. 2005.
- [12] Specht DF, Probabilistic Neural Network. *Neural Networks*. 3:109 – 118. 1990.
- [13] Fausett L. *Fundamentals of neural networks: Architectures, algorithms, and applications*. New Jersey: Prentice - Hall International. 1994.
- [14] Wu SG, Bao FS, Xu EY, Wang YX, Chang YF, and Xiang QL. A Leaf Recognition Algorithm for Plant Classification Using Probabilistic Neural Network. *Proceedings of IEEE 7th International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology*. Cairo. 12 – 17. 2007.
- [15] Han J, Kamber M, and Pei J. *Data Mining: Concept and Techniques 3rd Edition*. Waltham USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers. 2012.